# QUASI-PROJECTIVE AND QUASI-LIFTABLE CHARACTERS

### W. WILLEMS AND A.E. ZALESSKI

Abstract We study ordinary characters of a finite group G which vanish on the p-singular elements for a fixed prime p dividing the order of G. Such characters are called quasi-projective. We show that all quasi-projective characters of G are characters of projective modules if and only if the ordinary irreducible characters of G can be ordered in such a way that the top square fragment of the decomposition matrix is diagonal. Finally, we prove that the number of indecomposable quasi-projective characters of G is finite and characterize them in case of blocks with cyclic defect groups.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper let p always be a prime and let G be a finite group. The order of G is denoted by |G|, and  $|G|_p$  stands for the p-part of |G|. For  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  the notation  $n \mid m$  means that n divides m. By  $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$  we denote the set of classical irreducible characters of G and by  $\mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  that of irreducible p-Brauer characters with respect to a splitting p-modular system. We write  $\Phi_{\varphi}$  for the ordinary character associated to the projective cover of the module corresponding to  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$ . If  $\chi$  is an ordinary character of G then  $\chi^{\circ}$  denotes the restriction of  $\chi$  on the set of p-regular elements. Furthermore  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  stands for the usual scalar product on the complex vector space of ordinary characters and  $(\cdot,\cdot)^{\circ}$  means its restriction to the set of p-regular elements.

**Definition 1.1.** An ordinary character  $\Lambda$  of G is called quasi-projective if

$$\Lambda = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{G})} a_{\varphi} \Phi_{\varphi} \ \ \textit{with} \ \ a_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In case  $a_{\varphi} \geq 0$  for all  $\varphi \in IBr_p(G)$  we call  $\Lambda$  projective, i.e.,  $\Lambda$  is the ordinary character of a projective module.

Note that a character  $\Lambda$  is quasi-projective if and only if  $\Lambda$  vanishes on the set of p-singular elements of G (see [14, Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.17]). This shows that the coefficients  $a_{\varphi}$  are automatically integers since  $a_{\varphi} = (\Lambda, \varphi)^{\circ} = (\Lambda, \varphi)$  and  $\varphi$  is an integer linear combination of ordinary irreducible characters restricted to p-regular elements (see [14, Corollary 2.16]). Although quasi-projective characters are a natural generalization of characters of projective modules, they did not attract much attention so far. In [15] the authors study quasi-projective characters of degree  $|G|_p$ , but only for Chevalley groups in defining characteristic p.

**Definition 1.2.** We call a p-Brauer character  $\varphi$  quasi-liftable if there exists an ordinary character  $\chi$  such that  $\chi^{\circ} = b\varphi$  with  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Quasi-liftable irreducible Brauer characters  $\varphi$  for which b>1 are of interest since they allow non-split self-extensions. More precisely, suppose that  $\chi^\circ=b\varphi$  with b>1. Let V be the module in characteristic p affording  $\varphi$ . According to a result of Thompson ([6, Ch. I, Theorem 17.12]) there is a lattice affording  $\chi$  whose Brauer reduction mod p is indecomposable. This implies in particular that there is an indecomposable module which is an extension of V by V, i.e.,  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_G(V,V)\neq 0$ . One says that V has a self-extension. Surprisingly, for most Chevalley groups in defining characteristic p>3 even liftable modules have self-extensions [16, Proposition 1.4]. As shown in [2] the self-extension phenomenon is very rare.

Quasi-liftable irreducible Brauer characters which are not liftable are hard to find, but they exist. Take for instance  $G = 2.M_{12}.2$ , where  $M_{12}$  is the Mathieu group, and p = 2. Then there exists a non-liftable Brauer character  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_2(G)$  of degree 44 and a  $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$  of degree 88 such that  $\chi^\circ = 2\varphi$  (see decomposition matrices in [13]). Another example is provided by G = ON.2 again for p = 2. In this case there exists a  $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$  of degree 51832 with  $\chi^\circ = 2\varphi$  where  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_2(G)$  is not liftable.

These examples may suggest that  $p \mid b$  if  $\chi^{\circ} = b\varphi$  for  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr_p}(G)$  and b > 1. However this is not true. The group  $G = {}^2F_4(2)'.2$  contains a non-liftable  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr_2}(G)$  of degree 26 and characters  $\chi, \psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$  with  $\chi^{\circ} = 2\varphi$  and  $\psi^{\circ} = 3\varphi$ . The principal 2-block contains the trivial Brauer character (which is liftable),  $\varphi$  (which is quasi-liftable, but not liftable) and a non-quasi-liftable irreducible Brauer character of degree 246.

By the above examples one might be tempted to conjecture that the existence of quasiliftable, but not liftable irreducible p-Brauer character occurs only for p=2. This is not the case.

**Example 1.3.** Let p=3 and let  $H=3.A_6 \times E_{27}$  where  $E_{27}$  is an elementary abelian group of order 27. The centers  $Z(3.A_6)$  and  $Z(E_{27})$  are generated by elements of order 3, say z and z' respectively. The group  $3.A_6$  has an irreducible 3-Brauer character  $\varphi$  which is liftable, say to  $\chi$ . For  $E_{27}$  we can choose an irreducible complex character  $\psi$  of degree 3 such that (z, z') is in the kernel of  $\chi \psi \in Irr(H)$ . Thus  $\chi \psi$  is an irreducible character of degree 27 of

$$G = 3.A_6 \times E_{27}/\langle (z, z') \rangle \cong 3.A_6 \times E_{27}$$

which is a central product. Clearly  $(\chi \psi)^{\circ} = 3\varphi$  and  $\varphi$  is not liftable.

If G is p-solvable then every quasi-projective character is the character of a projective module [4, Theorem 32.17]) or [14, Lemma 10.16]. It is well known that every irreducible p-Brauer character of a p-solvable group is liftable to an ordinary character. The following result which we prove in section 2 generalizes this.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. Then the following are equivalent:

- a) Every quasi-projective character  $\Lambda = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)} a_\varphi \Phi_\varphi$  of G is projective.
- b) Every  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  is quasi-liftable.

We would like to mention here that the statement in b) is equivalent to the fact that the ordinary irreducible characters of G can be ordered in such a way that the top square fragment of the decomposition matrix is diagonal. Furthermore, the proof of Theorem 1.4 together with [6, Ch. IV, Lemma 3.14] shows that there is a blockwise version of Theorem 1.4.

The groups for which all irreducible p-Brauer characters are liftable have been studied extensively by Hiss in [8] and [7]. Unfortunately, a full classification is still not available. So we can not expect a classification of all groups for which all irreducible p-Brauer characters are quasi-liftable, even if we assume that this holds true for all primes p.

For a fixed prime p the class of finite groups for which all irreducible p-Brauer characters are quasi-liftable may be bigger than that for which all irreducible p-Brauer characters are liftable. Take for instance p=2. Then all irreducible 2-Brauer characters of  $2.M_{12}.2$  are quasi-liftable but not all are liftable.

However, in section 3 we show

**Theorem 1.5.** If G is a finite quasi-simple group then the following are equivalent:

- a) For all primes p every  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  is quasi-liftable.
- b) G = SL(2,5).
- c) For all primes p every  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  is liftable.

Thus one is naturally tempted to ask:

Question 1.6. Let G be an arbitrary finite group. Are the following equivalent?

- a) For all primes p every  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  is quasi-liftable.
- b) For all primes p every  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  is liftable.

In the last section we prove that for a finite group the number of indecomposable quasiprojective characters as defined in section 2 is finite. In the case of a block with cyclic defect group we characterize the indecomposable quasi-projective characters explicitly.

## 2. Quasi-projective and quasi-liftable characters

We call a quasi-projective character  $\chi$  decomposable if  $\chi$  is the sum of at least two non-zero quasi-projective characters. Otherwise  $\chi$  is called *indecomposable*. Note that the set of irreducible ordinary characters allows a partition into p-blocks and an arbitrary character belongs to such a block, say B, if all its irreducible constituents belong to B.

Lemma 2.1. An indecomposable quasi-projective character belongs to a block.

Proof. This follows from [6, Ch. IV, Lemma 3.14].

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $\Lambda$  is a quasi-projective character then either  $\Lambda$  belongs to a block of defect zero or  $\Lambda$  has at least two different irreducible constituents.

Proof. Suppose that all irreducible constituents of  $\Lambda$  are equal. Hence  $\Lambda = n\chi$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ . In particular,  $\chi$  vanishes on the set of p-singular elements. Thus  $\chi$  is also quasi-projective. In particular,  $|G|_p |\chi(1)|$  since  $|G|_p |\Phi_{\varphi}(1)$  for all  $\varphi \in IBr_p(G)$ , by

[10, Ch. VII, Corollary 7.16]. As  $\chi$  is irreducible it belongs to a p-block of defect 0, by [14, Theorem 3.18].

The following example shows that the conclusion of Lemma 2.2 can not be improved and that the decomposition of a quasi-projective character into a sum of indecomposable quasi-projective characters is not unique.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $G = A_5$  be the alternating group on 5 letters and let p = 2. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\lambda$  be the two irreducible 2-Brauer characters of G and let  $\chi, \psi, \rho$  are the irreducible ordinary characters of degree 3, 3 and 5 respectively. If we order the ordinary characters as  $1, \chi, \psi, \rho$  and the Brauer characters as  $1, \varphi, \lambda$  we may suppose that the decomposition matrix has shape

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & . & . \\
1 & 1 & . \\
1 & . & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right).$$

From this we get the decompositions

$$\Phi_1 = (\Phi_1 - \Phi_{\varphi}) + \Phi_{\varphi} 
= (\Phi_1 - \Phi_{\lambda}) + \Phi_{\lambda}$$

with quasi-projective summands

$$\begin{split} \Phi_1 - \Phi_{\varphi} &= 1 + \psi \\ \Phi_1 - \Phi_{\lambda} &= 1 + \chi \\ \Phi_{\varphi} &= \chi + \rho \\ \Phi_{\lambda} &= \psi + \rho. \end{split}$$

All these factors belong to the principal 2-block. Furthermore, they are indecomposable quasi-projective, for if not, then we would obtain an irreducible quasi-projective character, which would belong to a 2-block of defect zero (see proof of Lemma 2.2) This contradicts the fact that it lies in the principal 2-block.

The next lemma slightly generalizes [14, Lemma 10.16].

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\Lambda = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)} a_{\varphi} \Phi_{\varphi}$  be a quasi-projective character of G. If  $\varphi$  is quasi-liftable, then  $a_{\varphi} \geq 0$ .

In particular, if all  $\varphi$ 's with  $a_{\varphi} \neq 0$  are quasi-liftable then  $\Lambda$  is projective.

Proof. By assumption, there exists  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  such that  $\chi^{\circ} = b\varphi$  for  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly, the character  $\Psi = b\Lambda = \sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}_{p}(G)} ba_{\varphi} \Phi_{\varphi}$  is quasi-projective. Furthermore,  $ba_{\varphi} = (\Psi, \varphi)^{\circ}$  by [14, Theorem 2.13]. It follows that

$$ba_{\varphi} = (\Psi, \varphi)^{\circ} = (b\Lambda, \varphi)^{\circ} = (\Lambda, \chi) \ge 0,$$

hence  $a_{\varphi} \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** If there exists a  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  which is not quasi-liftable then there exists a quasi-projective character of G (in the same p-block as  $\varphi$ ) which is not projective.

Proof. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is not quasi-liftable. Thus, for each  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  with  $d_{\chi,\varphi} \neq 0$  there exists a  $\psi \in IBr_p(G)$  such that  $\varphi \neq \psi$  and  $d_{\chi,\psi} \neq 0$ .

Let  $b = \max\{d_{\chi,\varphi} \mid \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)\}$ . We consider  $\Phi = -\Phi_{\varphi} + b \cdot \sum_{\psi \neq \varphi} \Phi_{\psi}$ , where the sum is running over all  $\psi \in \operatorname{IBr}_p(G)$  different from  $\varphi$ . The multiplicity of every  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  in  $\Phi$  is given by

$$-d_{\chi,\varphi} + b \cdot \sum_{\varphi \neq \psi \in \mathrm{IBr}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{G})} d_{\chi,\psi} \ge 0,$$

since there is a  $\psi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$  with  $\varphi \neq \psi$  and  $d_{\chi,\psi} \geq 1$ . This shows that  $\Phi$  is a non-projective character. In case  $\varphi$  lies in the p-block B we take in the sum defining  $\Phi$  only those  $\psi$  which lie in B.

The last two lemmata prove Theorem 1.4. Together with Lemma 2.1 we see that the Theorem allows a block version as well.

# 3. Groups with cyclic Sylow p-subgroups

By [6, Ch. VII, Lemma 5.7], all decomposition numbers of a p-block B with a cyclic defect group are 1 or 0. This implies that every quasi-liftable p-Brauer character of B is liftable.

We have the following result of a general nature. For the definition and facts of Brauer trees the reader is referred to [1, Ch. V, section 17], [6, Ch. VII].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let B be a p-block of G of positive defect with cyclic defect group and let  $\Gamma$  be the Brauer tree of B. Then the following are equivalent:

- a) Every quasi-projective character of B is projective.
- b) Every irreducible p-Brauer character of B is liftable.
- c)  $\Gamma$  is a star with rays all of length 1.

Proof. The equivalence of a) and b) follows from Theorem 1.4 and the above mentioned fact that every quasi-liftable p-Brauer character in B is liftable. Clearly, c) implies b). Finally, suppose that b) holds true, but  $\Gamma$  is not given as in c). Then  $\Gamma$  contains a line with at least 4 points and the irreducible p-Brauer character in the middle of the line is not liftable.

**Proposition 3.2.** (Hiss, see [9]) Let  $G \ncong PSL(2,q)$  be a non-abelian simple group. Then there is a prime p dividing |G| such that a Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic and the p-Brauer tree of the principal p-block of G is not a star.

In Hiss' result the prime p may be chosen such that it does not divide the order of the Schur multiplier of G. Thus Proposition 3.2 has an obvious extension to quasi-simple groups G with  $G/Z(G) \ncong PSL(2, q)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** (Srinivasan, see [11, Section 16.10, Theorem]) All p-modular decomposition numbers of  $SL(2, p^r)$  are 0 or 1.

Corollary 3.4. Every quasi-liftable  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(SL(2,p^r))$  is liftable.

Note that in Theorem 1.5 the assertions b) and c) are equivalent, by Hiss' thesis [7]. It is easy to check that part b) implies a). Thus the following Corollary completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let G be a quasi-simple group. Suppose that for every prime p all irreducible p-Brauer characters are quasi-liftable. Then  $G \cong SL(2,5)$ .

Proof. If for some prime p a Brauer tree of G is not a star then there is a  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr_p}(G)$  which is not quasi-liftable. Thus, according to the remark below Proposition 3.2 we get  $G/Z(G) \cong PSL(2,q)$  for some q.

First we consider the case G = SL(2, q):

We have to consider all primes p dividing |SL(2,q)|. For  $p \mid q$  the quasi-liftable irreducible p-Brauer characters are liftable, by Lemma 3.4. Thus G has an irreducible complex character of degree 2 which means that G is a subgroup of  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ . By [5, Part A, Theorem 26.1], the group SL(2,5) is the only non-solvable finite subgroup of  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ . Finally note that for all primes p dividing |SL(2,5)| all irreducible p-Brauer characters are liftable.

Next we look at the simple groups PSL(2, q):

According to Burkhard [3] and Corollary 3.4 all irreducible p-Brauer characters are liftable for all primes p since they are quasi-liftable by assumption. Thus we get  $\varphi(1) \mid |G|$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_p(G)$ . In particular, G belongs to the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  in the notation of Hiss [8]. Thus, by [8, Theorem 4.1], the group G is isomorphic to  $PSL(2, 2^e) = SL(2, 2^e)$  for some  $e \geq 2$  or to  $PSL(2, 3^e)$  for  $2 \leq e \leq 4$ . Note that we dealt already with  $SL(2, 2^e)$ . The latter three cases are ruled out by [13].

Finally, the remaining two groups  $3.A_6$  and  $6.A_6$  also do not satisfy the assumptions of the Corollary (see again [13]).

# 4. Indecomposable quasi-projective characters

Let Iqp(G), Iqp(B) denote the set of indecomposable quasi-projective characters of G resp. of a block B with respect to the prime p.

**Theorem 4.1.** Iqp(G) is finite.

Proof. Suppose there exists an infinite sequence  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots$  of different indecomposable quasi-projective characters. If  $Irr(G) = \{\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n\}$  we may write

$$\Lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij} \chi_j \quad (m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

We define  $J \subseteq N = \{1, ..., n\}$  by  $J = \{j \in N \mid \{m_{ij} \mid i = 1, 2, ...\}$  is finite}. Note that J is a proper subset of N since the sequence  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, ...$  is infinite. Now we replace the sequence  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, ...$  by an infinite subsequence such that |J| is maximal. We denote this subsequence again by  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, ...$  Observe that J does not change whenever we take an infinite subsequence of  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, ...$ 

Next we write

$$\Lambda_i = \sum_{j \in J} m_{ij} \chi_j + \sum_{j \in N \setminus J \neq \emptyset} m_{ij} \chi_j.$$

If we put

$$\Lambda_i|_J = \sum_{j \in J} m_{ij} \chi_j$$

then we can choose an infinite subsequence of  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots$  which we denote again by  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots$  such that

$$\Lambda_i|_J = \Lambda_1|_J$$
 for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Hence

$$\Lambda_i = \Lambda_1|_J + \sum_{j \in N \setminus J} m_{ij} \chi_j$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Now we fix

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1|_J + \sum_{j \in N \setminus J} m_j \chi_j$$

where  $m_j = m_{1j}$  and denote  $\Lambda_1$  by  $\Lambda'_1$ .

Let  $N \setminus J = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ . In a first step we can find an infinite subsequence of  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots$  which we denote again by  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots$  such that

$$m_{ij_1} > m_{j_1}$$
 for all  $i$ .

Taking again an infinite subsequence we get

$$m_{ij_2} > m_{j_2}$$
 for all  $i$ .

Inductively we end up with an infinite sequence  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots$  such that

$$m_{ij_k} > m_{j_k}$$
 for all  $i$  and  $k = 1, \ldots, l$ .

By construction  $\Lambda_i - \Lambda'_1$  is a quasi-projective character for all i which contradicts the fact that  $\Lambda_i$  is indecomposable.

**Question 4.2.** Can one characterize  $|\operatorname{Iqp}(G)|$  in terms of invariants of G or at least give a concrete upper bound?

**Lemma 4.3.** For a p-block B we always have  $|\operatorname{Iqp}(B)| \ge |\operatorname{IBr}_{p}(B)|$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $Iqp(B) = \{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r\}$  has size r. Since for  $\varphi \in IBr(B)$  each  $\Phi_{\varphi}$  is a sum of indecomposable quasi-projective characters  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r$  generate the complex vector space of class functions generated by  $\{\Phi_{\varphi} \mid \varphi \in IBr_p(B)\}$ . But this space has dimension  $|IBr_p(B)|$  according to [14, Theorem 2.13].

**Lemma 4.4.** If all irreducible characters of a p-block B are quasi-liftable then  $|\operatorname{Iqp}(B)| = |\operatorname{IBr}_p(B)|$ .

Proof. This is obvious since all quasi-projective characters of B are projective. Hence  $Iqp(B) = \{\Phi_{\varphi} \mid \varphi \in IBr_p(B)\}.$ 

The next example shows that the converse of the above lemma is not true in general.

**Example 4.5.** Let  $G = A_7$  and let  $B_0$  denote the principal 3-block of G. According to (decomposition matrices in [13]) the decomposition matrix is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . \\
1 & . & . & 1 \\
1 & . & . & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right).$$

Clearly, the characters  $\Phi_2$ ,  $\Phi_3$ ,  $\Phi_4$  and  $\Phi_1 - \Phi_4$  are indecomposable quasi-projective since all of them have at most three irreducible characters. We show that these are all indecomposable quasi-projective characters. Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is indecomposable quasi-projective, but not projective. Thus

$$\Lambda = a_1 \Phi_1 + a_2 \Phi_2 + a_3 \Phi_3 - a_4 \Phi_4$$

with  $a_i \geq 0$  for all i and  $a_4 > 0$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is a character we get  $a_1 \geq a_4$ . Thus

$$\Lambda = (a_1 \Phi_1 - a_4 \Phi_4) + a_2 \Phi_2 + a_3 \Phi_3$$

and  $\Lambda$  indecomposable forces  $a_2=a_3=0$ . Finally  $a_1=a_4=1$  since otherwise  $\Lambda$  is decomposable.

**Question 4.6.** Can one characterize blocks B with  $|\operatorname{Iqp}(B)| = |\operatorname{IBr}_{p}(B)|$ ?

**Theorem 4.7.** Let B be a p-block of positive defect with a cyclic defect group. By  $\chi_0$  we denote the sum of exceptional irreducible characters of B (if such characters exist). Furthermore let  $Irr^0(B)$  be the set consisting of  $\chi_0$  and all the non-exceptional irreducible characters of B. Then

$$\Lambda = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{B})} a_{\varphi} \Phi_{\varphi}$$

is an indecomposable quasi-projective character of B if and only if  $\Lambda = \chi + \psi$  for  $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B)$  where the distance between  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  in the Brauer tree is odd.

Proof. In the following we use several times the fact that  $\Phi_{\varphi} = \chi + \psi$  for  $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$  where  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  have distance 1 in the Brauer tree (see [6, Ch. VII, Theorem 2.19]).

We write  $\Lambda = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B)} b_{\chi} \chi$  and assume that  $\Lambda$  is indecomposable quasi-projective. Let  $d(\cdot,\cdot)$  denote the distance in the Brauer tree. Furthermore, let  $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(G)$  with  $d(\chi,\psi) = d$  odd and  $b_{\chi} \neq 0 \neq b_{\psi}$ . We consider the path from  $\chi$  to  $\psi$  which is by definition of length d, and unique. Associated to this path, say

$$\chi = \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{d+1} = \psi,$$

there are p-Brauer characters

$$\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_d$$

where  $\varphi_i$  is contained in the constant reduction of  $\eta_i$  and  $\eta_{i+1}$  We obtain from this the quasi-projective character

$$\Psi = \Phi_{\varphi_1} - \Phi_{\varphi_2} + \ldots - \Phi_{\varphi_{d-1}} + \Phi_{\varphi_d} = \chi + \psi.$$

Hence  $\Lambda = \Psi$  since  $\Lambda$  is indecomposable and we are done.

Thus we have the following. Either  $\Lambda = \chi + \psi$  and the distance between  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  is odd or  $\Lambda = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B)} b_{\chi} \chi$  where  $b_{\chi} \neq 0 \neq b_{\psi}$  if and only if the path between  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  is of even length.

Next we show that the latter case does not occur. If  $g \in G$  is p-singular then

$$0 = \Phi_{\varphi_i}(g) = \eta_i(g) + \eta_{i+1}(g), \tag{*}$$

hence  $\eta_i(g) = -\eta_{i+1}(g) = c_q$ .

We have to deal with the case that the distance between two characters of  $\operatorname{Irr}^{\circ}(B)$  is even whenever they occur in  $\Lambda$ . If  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  then there is a p-singular  $g \in G$  with  $\chi(g) = c \neq 0$  since  $\chi$  is not of p-defect zero. The same holds true for  $\chi_0$ . This can be seen as follows. There exists a  $\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}_p(G)$  with  $a_{\varphi} \neq 0$  and  $\Phi_{\varphi} = \psi + \chi_0$  where  $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ . If  $\chi_0(g) = 0$  for all p-singular elements  $g \in G$  then the same holds true for the irreducible character  $\psi$ . This implies that  $\psi$  is of p-defect zero, a contradiction.

Now we fix some  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B)$  which occurs in  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\psi$  be any other character in  $\Lambda$  which is irreducible or  $\chi_0$ . Since the distance between  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  is even we get  $\chi(g) = \psi(g) = c$  according to (\*). Thus  $\Lambda(g) = nc \neq 0$  where  $n = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B)} b_{\chi}$ , a contradiction.

Thus we have proved that  $\Lambda = \chi + \psi$  for  $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B)$  with  $d(\chi, \psi)$  odd if  $\Lambda$  is indecomposable quasi-projective. Conversely any character  $\Lambda = \chi + \psi$  with  $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B)$  and  $d(\chi, \psi)$  odd is quasi-projective according to (\*). Moreover it is indecomposable. This is obvious if  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are irreducible characters. Thus suppose that  $\chi = \chi_0$  and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$  with  $\Lambda_i \neq 0$  and quasi-projective. In this case we may suppose that  $\Lambda_1$  is the sum of some exceptional irreducible characters and  $\Lambda_2$  contains  $\psi$ . Since a projective character contains all exceptional characters or none we see that  $\Lambda_1 = \chi_0$ . This implies that  $\Lambda_2 = \psi$  is of p-defect zero, a contradiction.

Theorem 4.7 shows that the ordinary characters of all projective indecomposable modules (so-called PIM characters) are indecomposable quasi-projective. Thus we may ask:

Question 4.8. Can one characterize all groups for which every PIM character is indecomposable quasi-projective? Note that such a classification must include all groups in which every quasi-projective character is projective.

Apart from blocks with cyclic defect groups there are other non-trivial examples for which a complete classification of indecomposable quasi-projective characters is achievable. For the following the reader is referred to [12, section 28].

Let  $G = \operatorname{GL}(2,q)$  where the prime p divides q. For  $\zeta \in \operatorname{Irr}(Z(G))$  we denote by  $R_1(\zeta)$ ,  $R_{q-1}(\zeta)$  and  $R_{q+1}(\zeta)$  the sets  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  of degree 1, q-1 and q+1, respectively, such that  $\chi|_{Z(G)} = \chi(1)\zeta$ . Let  $z \in Z(G)$  and  $1 \neq u \in U$ , where U is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Note that  $C_G(u) = Z(G)U$ . Using the character table of G, one observes that  $\chi(u) = 1$  if  $\chi \in R_1(\zeta) \cup R_{q+1}(\zeta)$ , and  $\chi(u) = -1$  if  $\chi \in R_{q-1}(\zeta)$ . Furthermore, the p-singular elements are conjugate to elements of shape zu where  $z \in Z(G)$  and  $1 \neq u \in U$ . Therefore,  $\chi(zu) = \zeta(z)\chi(u)$ . It follows that  $\chi(zu) = \zeta(z)$  if  $\chi \in R_1(\zeta) \cup R_{q+1}(\zeta)$ , and  $\chi(zu) = -\zeta(z)$  if  $\chi \in R_{q-1}(\zeta)$ . Thus  $\tau + \sigma$  is quasi-projective whenever one of the following holds:

Г

- (1)  $\tau \in R_1(\zeta)$  and  $\sigma \in R_{q-1}(\zeta)$  or conversely,
- (2)  $\tau \in R_{q-1}(\zeta)$  and  $\sigma \in R_{q+1}(\zeta)$  or conversely.

Finally note that the characters  $\tau + \sigma$  with property (1) or (2) are even indecomposable quasi-projective. All irreducible characters of G which do not belong to some  $R_i(\zeta)$  are of defect zero and degree q.

**Proposition 4.9.** Every indecomposable quasi-projective character of G = GL(2,q) with  $p \mid q$  is either irreducible of degree q, or as in (1), or as in (2).

Proof. Let  $\Lambda = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} a_{\chi} \chi$  be an indecomposable quasi-projective character of G. We know (Lemma 2.1) that all  $\chi$  with  $a_{\chi} \neq 0$  belong to the same block. This implies that all these characters belong to  $R_1(\zeta) \cup R_{q-1}(\zeta) \cup R_{q+1}(\zeta)$  for the same (fixed)  $\zeta$ . Clearly, we may assume that no constituent  $\chi$  is of degree q. The minimality of  $\Lambda$  implies that no pair of characters satisfying (1) or (2) can occur in this decomposition, otherwise we are done. Furthermore,  $\Lambda(u) \neq 0$  for every p-element  $u \in G$  if all irreducible characters  $\chi$  with  $a_{\chi} \neq 0$  belong to the same  $R_i(\zeta)$ . Since no  $\chi$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $a_{\chi} \neq 0$  can belong to  $R_{q-1}(\zeta)$  (otherwise  $\Lambda$  has a summand of type (1) or (2) and we are done), all  $\chi$  occurring in  $\Lambda$  are in  $R_1(\zeta) \cup R_{q+1}(\zeta)$ . This implies that  $\Lambda(u) > 0$  for all p-elements  $u \in G$ , a contradiction.

**Acknowledgement.** We are deeply indepted to an anonymous referee for many useful comments and suggestions. They caused a substancial improvement of the first version.

## References

- [1] J. Alperin, Local representation theory, Cambridge Press, Cambridge 1986.
- [2] Ch.P. Bendel, D. Nakano and C. Pillen, Extentions for finite groups of Lie type: twisted groups. In: Finite groups 2003, 29-46, de Gruyter 2004.
- [3] R. Burkhardt, Die Zerlegungsmatrizen der Gruppen  $PSL(2, p^f)$ , J. Algebra 40 (1976), 75 96.
- [4] C. W. Curtis, I. Reiner, Methods of representation theory with applications to finite groups and orders, Vol. 1, Wiley, New York e.a., 1981.
- [5] L. Dornhoff, Group representation theory, part A, B, Dekker, New York 1972.
- [6] W. Feit, The representation theory of finite groups, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [7] G. Hiss, Über Liftbarkeit modularer Darstellungen endlicher Gruppen, Dissertation, Freiburg, 1983.
- [8] G. Hiss, Groups whose Brauer characters are liftable, J. Algebra 94 (1985), 388 405.
- [9] G. Hiss, A converse to the Fong-Swan-Isaacs theorem, J. Algebra 111 (1987), 279-290.
- [10] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, Finite groups II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [11] J. Humphreys, Modular representations of finite groups of Lie type, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [12] G. James and M.Liebeck, Representations and characters of groups, Cambridge Press, Cambridge 2001.
- [13] http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/homes/MOC/
- [14] G. Navarro, Characters and blocks of finite groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998 (LMS Lecture note Series 250).
- [15] M. Pelegrini and A. Zalesski, On characters of Chevalley groups vanishing at the non-semisimple elements, ArXiv 1304.5086, 2013.
- [16] Pham Huu Tiep and A. Zalesski, Mod p reducibility of unramified representations of finite groups of Lie type. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 84 (2002), 439 472.

Wolfgang Willems Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany and Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia Alexander Zalesski National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus and University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK