

# On $q$ -Steiner systems from rank metric codes

Francisco Arias<sup>1</sup>, Javier de la Cruz<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Joachim Rosenthal<sup>2†</sup> and Wolfgang Willems<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia

<sup>2</sup>University of Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Otto-von-Guericke Universität, Magdeburg, Germany

## Abstract

In this paper we prove that rank metric codes with special properties imply the existence of  $q$ -analogs of suitable designs. More precisely, we show that the minimum weight vectors of a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually almost MRD code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{2d}$  ( $2d \leq m$ ) which has no code words of rank weight  $d + 1$  form a  $q$ -Steiner system  $S(d - 1, d, 2d)_q$ . This is the  $q$ -analog of a result in classical coding theory and it may be seen as a first step to prove a  $q$ -analog of the famous Assmus-Mattson Theorem.

**Keywords:** Rank metric code,  $q$ -analog Steiner system, dually AMRD code

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 94B05, 94B60, 05B25, 51E10

## 1 Introduction

The interest in  $q$ -analogs of codes and designs has been increased over the last years due to their applications in random network coding. It is well-known that a network code  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of a fixed  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with minimum distance  $d \geq 2(k - t + 1)$  has largest size  $|\mathcal{C}|$  if and only if the codewords form an  $S(t, k, n)_q$  Steiner system, the  $q$ -analog of an  $S(t, k, n)$  Steiner system. This is one of the reasons we are interested in the existence and construction of such systems.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $t, k, n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $t \leq k \leq n$ . A  $t$ - $(n, k, \lambda)_q$  subspace design  $\mathcal{D}$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a set of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , called the blocks, such that every  $t$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks of  $\mathcal{D}$ . In case  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a  $q$ -Steiner system and denoted by  $S(t, k, n)_q$ .

---

\*This work was done while J. de la Cruz was at the University of Zurich supported by the Swiss Confederation through the Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship no. 2016.0873. The autor was partially supported by COLCIENCIAS through project no. 121571250178.

†J. Rosenthal was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant no. 169510.

Clearly  $S(k, k, n)_q$  and  $S(1, n, n)_q$  exist and these are called trivial  $q$ -Steiner systems. Furthermore there are non-trivial  $q$ -Steiner systems  $S(1, k, n)_q$  whenever  $k \mid n$  which are also known as spreads [4]. Apart from these examples only  $S(2, 3, 13)_2$  is known to exist [2]. One of the most challenging problems in this field is the question of the existence of an  $S(2, 3, 7)_q$  design, as it would have the smallest parameters of a non-trivial  $q$ -Steiner system with  $t \geq 2$ . It is known as a  $q$ -analog of the Fano plane and many authors have been studying this questions so far. See e.g. [3],[17] and the references in these papers.

In this work we analyze  $q$ -analogs of Steiner systems derived from a special family of rank metric codes called dually almost MRD codes which were defined in [7]. In Section 2 we collect some facts on rank metric codes, in particular on generalized rank weights. In Section 3 we analyze the supports of the minimum weight vectors of a rank metric code. Section 4 deals with a relationship between rank metric codes and subspace designs. We prove that the minimum weight vectors of a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually almost MRD code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{2d}$  ( $2d \leq m$ ) which has no code words of rank weight  $d + 1$  hold an  $S(d - 1, d, 2d)_q$  Steiner system. The blocks are built by the supports of all code words of minimum weight. Note that such a code provides

- a) for  $d = 4$  a  $q$ -analog of the Fano plane (see Lemma 4.2),
- b) for  $n = 2d$  and  $t = d - 1$  an affirmative answer of the following question which may be seen as the  $q$ -analog of the Assmus-Mattson theorem.

**Question 1.2.** Let  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear code with minimum distance  $d$ . Fix a positive integer  $t$  with  $t < d$  and let  $s$  be the number of  $i$  with  $A_i(C^\perp) \neq 0$  for  $0 < i \leq n - t$ . Is it then true, that the supports of vectors of weight  $d$  in  $C$  form a  $t$ - $(n, d, \lambda)_q$  design if  $s \leq d - t$ ?

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper we study  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear codes  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  endowed with the rank metric distance. To be more precise, note that the field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  may be viewed as an  $m$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The *rank weight*, or briefly the *weight* of a vector  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  is defined as the maximum number of coordinates in  $v$  that are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e.,  $\text{wt}(v) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ . For  $v, u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  the rank metric distance is then given by  $d(v, u) = \text{wt}(u - v) = \text{rank}(v - u)$ .

A  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear subspace  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  of dimension  $k$  endowed with this metric is called a  $[n, k]$   $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear rank metric code. As usual the minimum distance of  $C \neq \{0\}$  is defined by

$$d = d(C) = \min\{\text{wt}(c) \mid 0 \neq c \in C\}.$$

By  $A_i(C)$  we always denote the code words of  $C$  of weight  $i$ . Finally, we use the notation  $C^\perp$  for the orthogonal of  $C$  which is taken with respect to the standard inner product of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ .

Throughout the paper we always assume that  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear rank metric code with minimum distance  $d$ . Furthermore we assume that  $C$  is not trivial, i.e.,  $0 \neq C \neq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  and  $n \leq m$ . Thus, if  $\dim C = k$ , then the last condition implies the Singleton bound

$$d \leq n - k + 1.$$

$C$  is called a *maximum rank distance code*, shortly an MRD code, if the bound is achieved. Delsarte [10] and independently Gabidulin [13] proved the existence of such codes for all  $q, m, n$  and dimension  $1 \leq k \leq n$  (here  $n \leq m$  is not necessary). Given the parameters  $q, m, n, k$ , the code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  these authors describe has a particular construction through a special generator matrix and the resulting code is usually called a Gabidulin code. Recently other new constructions of MRD codes have been found which are not equivalent to Gabidulin codes [9, 22]. Somehow surprisingly, over the algebraic closure of  $F_q$ , the set of MRD codes forms a generic set inside the Grassmann variety of all  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  [20]. In particular over some large finite field there exist large numbers of MRD codes and lower bounds on these cardinalities can be found in [20].

In analogy to the Singleton defect for classical codes as given in [6, 12], we have the following definition for the defect of rank metric codes [8].

**Definition 2.1.** The *rank defect*, briefly the *defect*, of an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear  $[n, k, d]$  rank metric code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  is defined by  $\text{def}(C) = n - k + 1 - d$ .

Note that  $\text{def}(C) = 0$  if and only if  $C$  is an MRD code. Other interesting codes, which are coming close to MRD codes, are the so-called *dually almost* MRD codes or simply *dually* AMRD codes [7]. More precisely, we say that a  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear rank metric code  $C$  is dually AMRD if  $\text{def}(C) = \text{def}(C^\perp) = 1$ . Dually AMRD codes are subject of the main results in the last section of this paper. These codes can be viewed as  $q$ -analogs of classical almost-MDS (AMDS) codes and as in the classical situation these codes induce again some  $q$ -Steiner system.

Let  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  be a basis  $B$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  we write

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ji} b_j$$

and put  $M_B(v) = (\alpha_{ji}) \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^{m \times n}$ . As mentioned in ([16], Section 2), the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear row space of  $M_B(v)$  is independent of the chosen basis  $B$ .

In order to define generalized rank weights we need the following notations [14, 16].

**Definition 2.2.** For  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  and an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  we define

- a)  $\text{supp}(v)$  as the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear row space of  $M_B(v)$ .
- b)  $\text{supp}(V) = \langle \text{supp}(v) \mid v \in V \rangle$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space.

c)  $\text{wt}(V) = \dim \text{supp}(V)$ .

d)  $V^\star = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} V^{q^i}$  where  $V^{q^i} = \{(v_1^{q^i}, \dots, v_n^{q^i}) \mid (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in V\}$ .

In the literature there are different definitions for generalized rank weights (see [21],[19], [11], [16]). All of them define the same numbers. For our purpose the definition given in [16] seems to be the most appropriate.

**Definition 2.3.** The  $r$ -th generalized rank weight  $d_r$  of a rank metric code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  is defined by

$$d_r(C) = \min_{\substack{D \leq C \\ \dim D = r}} \text{wt}(D).$$

Combining results of [19],[11] and [16] we obtain the rank metric analog of Wei's result [23] on generalized Hamming weights.

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $C$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear rank metric code in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  of dimension  $k$  and minimum distance  $d$ , then

$$d(C) = d_1(C) < d_2(C) < \dots < d_k(C).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_r(C) &= \min_{\substack{D \leq C \\ \dim D = r}} \text{wt}(D) \\ &= \min_{\substack{D \leq C \\ \dim D = r}} \dim D^\star && ([16], \text{Corollary 4.4}) \\ &= \min_{\substack{D \leq C \\ \dim D = r}} \max_{d \in D^\star} \text{wt}(d) && ([16], \text{Theorem 5.8}) \\ &= \min_{\substack{V = V^\star \\ \dim(C \cap V) \geq r}} \dim V && ([11], \text{Proposition II.1}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_r(C) && (\text{by Definition 5 in [19]}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_r(C) = \min \{ \dim V \mid V^q = V \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n, \dim(C \cap V) \geq r \}.$$

By ([19], Lemma 9) we get

$$\mathcal{M}_1(C) < \dots < \mathcal{M}_k(C),$$

and the proof is complete since obviously  $d(C) = d_1(C)$ . □

### 3 Supports of the minimum weight vectors

From [16] we know the following facts.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear rank metric code.

a) If  $u = \alpha v$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$ , then  $\text{supp}(v) = \text{supp}(u)$ .

b) If  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  generate  $C$ , then

$$\text{supp}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(v_i).$$

c) There exists an element  $c \in C$  such that

$$\text{supp}(c) = \text{supp}(C).$$

d) For  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  such that  $\text{supp}(\alpha v + \beta u) = \text{supp}(v) + \text{supp}(u)$ .

*Proof.* a) and b) are part of Proposition 2.3 of [16]. c) is Proposition 3.6 and d) Proposition 3.9 of the same paper.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** For an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear rank metric code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  of dimension  $k$  and minimum distance  $d$  we put

$$D_i(C) = \{\text{supp}(c) \mid c \in C, \text{wt}(c) = i\}$$

for  $i = 0, d, \dots, n - k + 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -linear rank metric code with minimum distance  $d$ .

a) Let  $v, u \in C$  and  $\text{wt}(v) = \text{wt}(u) = d$ . Then  $\text{supp}(v) = \text{supp}(u)$  if and only if there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$  such that  $u = \alpha v$ .

b)  $|D_d(C)| = \frac{A_d(C)}{q^m - 1}$ .

*Proof.* a) One direction follows by Lemma 3.1 a). Suppose  $\text{supp}(v) = \text{supp}(u)$  and  $v, u$  linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ . Let  $W = \langle v, u \rangle$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ . By Lemma 3.1 b), we get  $\text{supp}(W) = \text{supp}(v) + \text{supp}(u) = \text{supp}(v)$ . Therefore

$$\text{wt}(W) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\text{supp}(W)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\text{supp}(v)) = d.$$

Thus, according to the definition of generalized rank weights we obtain

$$d_2(C) = \min\{\text{wt}_R(S) \mid S \leq C \text{ and } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}} S = 2\} = d,$$

which contradicts Theorem 2.4.

b) This immediately follows from part a).  $\square$

## 4 $q$ -analog Steiner systems and rank metric codes

Maximum distance separable (MDS) codes are  $[n, k, d]$  linear codes  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  which reach the Singleton bound  $d = n - k + 1$ . Almost-MDS (AMDS) codes were introduced by de Boer [6] and they are characterized by the Singleton defect one, i.e.  $d = n - k$ .

In [12] it has been shown that the supports of code words of minimum weight of a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually AMDS code ( $d \geq 2$ ) which has no code words of weight  $d + 1$  form the blocks of an  $S(d-1, d, 2d)$  classical Steiner system and  $d+1$  must be a prime. For instance, in this way the extended ternary Golay code leads to an  $S(5, 6, 12)$  Steiner system. In this section we prove the  $q$ -analog of this result.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $a$  and  $b$  be non-negative integers. The  $q$ -ary Gaussian binomial coefficient of  $a$  over  $b$  is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(q^a-1)(q^{a-1}-1)\cdots(q^{a-b+1}-1)}{(q^b-1)(q^{b-1}-1)\cdots(q-1)} & \text{if } b \leq a \\ 0 & \text{if } b > a \end{cases}$$

Recall that  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q$  is the number of  $b$ -dimensional subspaces contained in an  $a$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space and that the number of blocks of an  $S(t, k, n)_q$  Steiner system is  $\frac{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ t \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} k \\ t \end{bmatrix}_q}$ . In the following we freely use the symmetry of the Gaussian binomial coefficients, i.e.,  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ a-b \end{bmatrix}_q$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *A  $S_q(t, k, n)$  Steiner system implies an  $S(t-1, k-1, n-1)_q$  Steiner system if  $t \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* This is one part of ([18], Lemma 5). □

The next Theorem as well Corollary 4.7 may be seen as  $q$ -analogs of results in [12].

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{2d}$  be a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually AMRD code with  $d \geq 2$  and  $A_{d+1}(C) = 0$ . Then the set  $D_d(C)$  are the blocks of an  $S(d-1, d, 2d)_q$  Steiner system.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $W \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{2d}$  be of dimension  $d-1$ . Suppose that  $W$  is contained in two different blocks, i.e., elements of  $D_d(C)$ . Hence

$$W \leq \text{supp}(u) \cap \text{supp}(v)$$

with  $\text{supp}(u), \text{supp}(v) \in D_d(C)$ . Since  $\dim(\text{supp}(u) \cap \text{supp}(v)) \leq d-1$  we obtain

$$W = \text{supp}(u) \cap \text{supp}(v).$$

Thus

$$\dim(\text{supp}(u) + \text{supp}(v)) = 2d - (d-1) = d+1.$$

By Lemma 3.1 d) there are  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  such that

$$\text{supp}(u) + \text{supp}(v) = \text{supp}(\alpha u + \beta v).$$

Thus  $\alpha u + \beta v \in C$  has weight  $d + 1$ , a contradiction. This means that every  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{2d}$  is contained in at most one block.

(ii) According to Lemma 3.3 b) we have  $|D_d(C)| = \frac{A_d(C)}{q^m - 1}$ . Since  $A_{d+1}(C) = 0$ , Theorem 27 of [8] yields

$$A_d(C) = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2d \\ d+1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} d \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} (q^m - 1) = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q} (q^m - 1),$$

hence  $|D_d(C)| = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}$ . Since each block contains exactly  $\begin{bmatrix} d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q$  subspaces of dimension  $(d - 1)$  and every  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace is contained in at most one block by (i), the blocks altogether contain

$$|D_d(C)| \begin{bmatrix} d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} 2d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q$$

subspaces of dimension  $d - 1$ . As  $\begin{bmatrix} 2d \\ d-1 \end{bmatrix}_q$  is the number of  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces in a space of dimension  $2d$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{2d}$  be a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually AMRD code with  $d \geq 2$  and  $A_{d+1}(C) = 0$ . Then  $C^\perp$  also leads to an  $S(d - 1, d, 2d)_q$  Steiner system, since  $C$  is formally self-dual due to ([7], Lemma 4.11).

**Example 4.5.** Let  $C$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_{2^4}$ -linear  $[4, 2, 2]$  code with generator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \omega & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\omega$  is a primitive third root of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^4}^*$ . With MAGMA [1] we get  $A_0(C) = A_0(C^\perp) = 1$ ,  $A_2(C) = A_2(C^\perp) = 75$ ,  $A_3(C) = A_3(C^\perp) = 0$  and  $A_4(C) = A_4(C^\perp) = 180$ . Thus  $C$  is a  $[4, 2, 2]$  dually almost MRD code over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^4}$ . Consequently, by Theorem 4.3 the elements of  $D_d(C)$  are the blocks of an  $S(1, 2, 4)_2$  Steiner system. Note that this 2-Steiner system is one of the well known spreads.

By Lemma 4.2, the existence of a  $q$ -Steiner system  $S(d - 1, d, 2d)_q$  for  $d \geq 2$  implies the existence of  $S(1, 2, d + 2)_q$ . Since the number of blocks of such a Steiner system is  $\frac{\begin{bmatrix} d+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q}$  it follows that  $q^2 - 1 \mid q^{d+2} - 1$ . Thus  $d$  must be even, hence  $d + 1$  odd.

**Theorem 4.6.** *If a  $q$ -Steiner system  $S(d - 1, d, 2d)_q$  with  $d \geq 2$  exists, then  $d + 1$  is a prime.*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime with  $p \mid d + 1 \neq p$ , hence  $d + 1 = px$  with  $x \geq 2$ . Since  $p - 1 \leq d - 1$  Lemma 4.2 implies the existence of an  $S(p - 1, p, d + p)_q$  Steiner system. This Steiner system has exactly

$$\frac{\begin{bmatrix} d+p \\ p-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} p \\ p-1 \end{bmatrix}_q} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} d+p \\ p-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} \in \mathbb{N}$$

blocks. We have

$$\frac{\begin{bmatrix} d+p \\ p-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} = \frac{(q^{d+p} - 1)(q^{d+p-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{d+2} - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1) \cdots (q^p - 1)}. \quad (*)$$

Since  $d + 1 = px$  we see that  $p \nmid d + i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, d + p$ . Note that  $p$  is odd. Thus, by Zsigmondy's Theorem ([15], Chap. IX, Theorem 8.3), there exists a prime  $r$  such that  $r \mid q^p - 1$  but  $r \nmid q - 1$ . Since  $\gcd(q^n - 1, q^m - 1) = q^{\gcd(m,n)} - 1$  the prime  $r$  does not divide any of the factors of the numerator in (\*), a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{2d}$  be a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually AMRD code with  $d \geq 2$  and  $A_{d+1}(C) = 0$ . Then  $d + 1$  is a prime.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.6.  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** In ([12], Theorem 25) it has been shown that a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually AMDS code with no code words of weight  $d + 1$  and  $d + 2$  is either the binary  $[8, 4, 4]$  Hamming code or the ternary  $[12, 6, 6]$  Golay code. In contrast, a  $[2d, d, d]$  dually AMRD code in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{2d}$  ( $2d \leq m$ ) with  $A_{d+1} = 0 = A_{d+2}$  does not exist. This can be seen as follows. Using the weight distribution of the code ([8], Theorem 27) we get

$$A_d = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2d \\ d+1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} d \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} (q^m - 1)$$

since  $A_{d+1} = 0$ , and

$$A_d = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2d \\ d+2 \end{bmatrix}_q}{q \begin{bmatrix} d \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q} (q^m - 1) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} d+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q - (q^m + 1) \right\}$$

since  $A_{d+2} = 0$ . Comparing these equations leads to

$$1 = \frac{q^2 - 1}{q(q^{d+2} - 1)} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} d+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q - (q^m + 1) \right\},$$

hence

$$q^{m+2} - q^m + q^2 = q^{d+2},$$

which has no solution since  $2 \leq 2d \leq m$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are very grateful to the referees. Their suggestions substantially improved an earlier version.



## References

- [1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. of Symbolic Computation* **24** (1997), 235-265.
- [2] M. Braun, T. Etzion, P.R.J. Östergård, A. Vardy and A. Wassermann, Existence of  $q$ -analogs of Steiner systems, *Forum Math. Pi* **4** (2016), 14pp., doi:10.1017/fmp.2016.5.
- [3] M. Braun, M. Kiermaier, and A. Nakić, On the automorphism group of a binary  $q$ -analog of the Fano plane, *European J. of Combinatorics* **51** (2016), 443-457.
- [4] T. Bu, Partitions of a vector space, *Discrete Mathematics* **31** (1980), 79-83.
- [5] W. Y.C. Chen, Q.-H. Hou, Factors of the Gaussian coefficients, *Discrete Mathematics* **306** (2006), 1446-1449.
- [6] M. A. de Boer. Almost MDS codes. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **9** (1996), 143-155.
- [7] J. de la Cruz, On dually almost MRD codes, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.04268>.
- [8] J. de la Cruz, E. Gorla, H. López, A. Ravagnani, Weight distribution of rank-metric codes, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **86** (2018), 1-16.
- [9] J. de la Cruz, M. Kiermaier, A. Wassermann and W. Willems, Algebraic structures of MRD Codes, *Advances in Mathematics of Communications* **10** (2016), 499-510.
- [10] P. Delsarte, Bilinear forms over a finite field, with applications to coding theory, *J. of Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **25** (1978), 226-241.
- [11] J. Ducoat, Generalized rank weights: duality and Griesmer bound, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.3899v1>
- [12] A. Faldum and W. Willems, Codes of small defect, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **10** (1997), 341-350.
- [13] E. M. Gabidulin, Theory of codes with maximum rank distance, *Problems of Information Transmission* **21** (1985), 1-12.
- [14] A.-L. Horlemann-Trautmann, K. Marshall and J. Rosenthal, Extension of Overbeck's attack for Gabidulin-based cryptosystems, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **86** (2018), 319-340.
- [15] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, Finite Groups II, *Springer Verlag*, Berlin 1982.
- [16] R. Jurrius and R. Pellikann, On defining generalized rank weights, *Advances in Mathematics of Communications* **11** (2017), 225-235.

- [17] M. Kiermaier, S. Kurz, and A. Wassermann, The order of the automorphism group of a binary  $q$ -analog of the Fano plane is at most two, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **86** (2018), 239-250.
- [18] M. Kiermaier and R. Laue, Derived and residual subspace designs, *Advances in Mathematics of Communications* **9** (2015), 105-110.
- [19] J. Kurihara, R. Matsumoto, and T. Uyematsu, Relative generalized rank weight of linear codes and its applications to network coding, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **61** (2015), 3912-3936.
- [20] A. Neri, A.-L. Horlemann-Trautmann, T. Randrianarisoa, and J. Rosenthal, On the genericity of maximum rank distance and Gabidulin codes, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **86** (2018), 341-363.
- [21] F. Oggier and A. Sboui A, On the existence of generalized rank weights, in *Proc. 2012 Int. Symp. Information Theory and Its Applications, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA*, 406-410.
- [22] J. Sheekey, A new family of linear maximum rank distance codes, *Advances in Mathematics of Communications* **10** (2016). 475-488.
- [23] V. K. Wei, Generalized Hamming weights for linear codes, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **37** (1991), 1412-1418.